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Reviewer's report:

Thanks for sending me this revised paper. I consider the authors to have done a very good job and I would be happy for this to be published. There are a few points for further consideration:

1. Page 7 - top of page should read Data were recorded not data was.
2. Page 7 - First paragraph, first sentence it might be better to say ....Paniyas regarding the outside community not with the outside community.
3. Page 8 - 4th sentence consent was obtained – how was this consent recorded?
4. Page 8 – end of first paragraph – could the Paniyas be involved in developing the ethical code? Is this something that could be considered for future studies?
5. Page 11 – last paragraph in bracket should read focus group discussion not focus groups.
6. Page 11 – line 7 cause effect analysis – does this also include impact as indicated in Table 1?
7. Page 11 – Figures 2 & 3 not identified.
8. Page 12 – Figure 4 not identified.
9. Page – 13 There could be further discussion of the findings.