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Reviewer's report:

The authors have revised the paper well and I have no further major comments.

Minor:
It would be good to have the numbers for the current and past CAM users for both products and practitioners.
Page 21: It is no stated (25% of 40%), meaning percentage points. This is always trick, but I think it is more correct to write 70% of 40%.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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