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Reviewer's report:

General - The authors revisions clearly address most of my concerns. I still advise, however, that the table include confidence limits for proportions. My earlier advice on this may not have been clear. The question does not concern associations between these variables and other characteristics. The question concerns the error or confidence with which these proportions are estimated. The formula for the confidence limit of a proportion p in a sample size n is:

Upper 95% confidence limit = p + 1.96 \sqrt{p(1-p)/n}
Lower 95% confidence limit = p - 1.96 \sqrt{p(1-p)/n}

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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