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Reviewer’s report:

General

The authors have addressed all of the points raised in my earlier comments. There are a number of limitations to this study which are inherent in the design. However, these are clearly highlighted and commented on. Therefore this will make a useful contribution to the research literature on which further work can be built.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Addressed in previous revisions

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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