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Author's response to reviews:

The issues of the baseline differences in depersonalisation scores have been addressed in the section titled Participants lost to follow-up P14-15 and we have added this:

However there were a difference in depersonalisation scores between completers and non-completers in the control group. This difference fell short of significance (p=0.065), but the analysis of covariance for the primary and secondary outcomes were repeated using depersonalisation as an added covariate in order to control for any bias that this might have introduced into the main analysis. This did not, however, affect the conclusions.