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Reviewer's report:

General
The paper is better now, however, still exists aspects not contemplated.

----------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Four aspects are essences to acceptance: d) The abstract doesn’t show the sample number a) The discussion of the limitation provoked for the losses; b) Explanation of some losses showed in the table; c) Reason to place ethnicity in a hierarchical model. Ethnicity is very difficult of being verified in Brazil; d) the numbers of the table must be reviewed

----------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

The abstract doesn’t show the sample number. It’s necessary

----------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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