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Reviewer’s report:

General
I found this paper very interesting and relevant. Mental health knowledge, skills and confidence is important for workers in non-government sectors and beyond. Therefore this educational program could be of wider relevance. My main criticism relates to the discussion of the results. The authors have attested to the success of the program by referring to the significant changes in attitudes and confidence etc. following completion of the program. They have almost ignored the fact that most of these positive developments are not sustained after three months. Why do the authors think this has occurred and what do they believe could be implemented to prevent this happening in the future?

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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