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Reviewer's report:

General
Thank you for giving me the possibility to review the paper once more after adjustments made by the authors.
I appreciate the adjustments performed. However I still find that table II is difficult to understand. The figures have been changed considerable compared to the earlier version of the paper.
I propose to include only the two last columns (% of patients using the drug and % monotherapy). Furthermore, it does not give sense that the percentage of patients adds up to more than 100%.

-------------------------------------------------------------------
Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
-------------------------------------------------------------------

-------------------------------------------------------------------
Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
-------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No