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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Peer Support for People with Severe Mental Illness

In response to an email from the BMC editorial office, we have made three minor revisions to our review paper, to fit in with the journal's requirements.

1) We have added a sentence to the methods clarifying that no ethical approval or participant consent was needed for this systematic review of previously reported studies: our review involved no collection of primary data.

2) We have moved the table reporting the characteristics of interventions from included studies to the data supplement, to meet the journal's requirements (because it contained a large amount of text).

3) We have renumbered and reformatted other tables for the main paper. Each table is cited sequentially in the text. Tables contain no subdivisions or merged cells.

We hope our paper now meets the journal's requirements. Thank you very much for considering it.

Yours sincerely,

Brynmor Lloyd-Evans