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Carlo Rye Chua  
Journal Editorial Office  
BioMed Central

Dear Rye:

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the editor and reviewer’s comments pertaining to our manuscript entitled, “The longitudinal interplay between negative and positive symptom trajectories in patients under antipsychotic treatment: a post hoc analysis of data from a 1-year study” currently under consideration for publication in *BMC Psychiatry*.

You will find suggested amendments and response within both the revised manuscript and the summary document below.

Thank you for your further consideration of this manuscript, and we look forward to hearing from you. Please address correspondence to me at (phone) 317-600-6579; (email) chen_lei_lc@lilly.com

Sincerely,

Lei Chen, PhD  
Eli Lilly and Company  
Lilly Corporate Center  
Indianapolis IN 46285  
Phone: 317-600-6579  
Email: chen_lei_lc@lilly.com
EDITORS COMMENTS:
We will be happy to accept your manuscript but that before we do you need to include the names of the IRBs. If there are a large number of IRBs involved then you can name the central IRB in the main ms and then include the local IRBs in an additional file
Response: We have added the name of central IRB in the METHODS section of the manuscript.

Referee 2
Reviewer's report
Title: The longitudinal interplay between negative and positive symptom trajectories in patients under antipsychotic treatment: a post hoc analysis of data from a 1-year study
Version: 2 Date: 12 April 2013
Reviewer: Massimiliano Buoli
Reviewer's report:
The authors answered appropriately to my queries and they incorporated my observations in the paper where possible. I think that the paper is actually suitable for publication in the journal
Response: We are grateful for this comment.
Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests
Quality of written English: Acceptable
Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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