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Reviewer's report:

The authors have adequately addressed my comments, which were of a minor nature, in the revised manuscript.

Discretionary Revisions: In the revised ms there were several edits that the editor may or may not choose to require. Since the spelling of "randomised" was changed to "randomized" throughout, it may be preferable to similarly change the spelling of "underutilised" and "rehospitalisation" (pg 3) and "generalisability" (pg 8).

Minor Essential Revisions: On pg 4, "aged between 18-65 years" perhaps should read "aged 18-65 years" and at the bottom of pg 7, "change from one medication to a depot" - the authors may have meant to say "change from oral medication to a depot."

Level of interest: An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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