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**Reviewer’s report:**

No major revisions are required. I am satisfied that the main points raised in our reviews have been addressed.

However, there are a few essential minor revisions. The paragraph: “In order to emphasize the higher significant associations between unmet needs and BPRS’s scales only the associations with a p value less than 0.01 will be displayed in Tables 7 and 8” does not fully represent what the statistician has commented on. The authors need to report that they are making conservative estimates of significant findings in order to minimise the problems associated with multiple testing, thus they will report only associations that have reached a p value of less than 0.01.

Also, there is still a need to re-examine the grammar; e.g. the word "congruency" (convergent validity, last para) does not exist, should be congruence.

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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