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Reviewer’s report:

The authors have done a nice job addressing my concerns in the original manuscript. There remains but one point I would like to see addressed.

Major Compulsory Revision

1. The authors are correct that they provided an explanation for how the two samples were constructed in the manuscript. My concern is that they do not explain why this particular sampling technique was selected. The additional text in their cover letter regarding this point is what I would like to see added to the manuscript. I was not questioning their approach, only the lack of explanation for why it was used.
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