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Reviewer's report:

1) The modifications in background section update the work and clarify the objectives of the paper. However, the description of limitations of previous study needs to be summarized reporting fundamental information only. It is possible that a more concise definition allows to strengthen the power of meta-analysis.

2) Also, results section (specifically, Characteristics of included studies) seems dispersive and largely unnecessary. Indeed, table 1 helps to describe the main characteristic of included studies and such section could be exclusively focused on a brief description of the information not covered by table 1 (and table 2).

3) Although it seems plausible the exclusion of BDI I and II, it is complicated justifying the neglect of the cognitive aspect (and cognitive impact) of depression especially when this dimension is the main target of CCBT interventions.

4) Within the text some inconsistencies appear in the reporting of citations.
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