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Reviewer’s report:

The authors have re-analysed their data, and they have therefore addressed my main concern about the use of the Proudfoot data twice. I am satisfied that they have adequately addressed all other issues and the manuscript is now structured in a more logical way.

Discretionary revision: The title is much improved although I would be inclined to make more of the difference in efficacy of CCBT over time here.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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