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Reviewer's report:

The manuscript is very much improved. Much easier to read and flows better. Results and conclusions appear consistent with the data. Authors have clearly taken a lot of efforts to improve the paper.

Table 2 needs further clarification as hard to figure out which values go with which analytic methods. May be my printer, but the decimal points appear as commas. Perhaps labeled columns would improve it.

Not sure why authors make comment in results about unadjusted analyses with smaller p values?? Clearly the inclusion of age and gender in the models is accounting for part of the error variance and why it needs to be included.

Figure one should use the 285 (indicating minus 10 and reason) and not 275 number so the 155 and 130 add up correctly.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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