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Reviewer's report:

Minor Essential Revisions

I am happy with the revised manuscript except for the phrase: "The approach used was analogous to grounded theory." I am not sure this is helpful. It either is or isn't Grounded Theory. I think the sentence which clarifies their inductive approach and patterns emerging from the data is sufficient. However I would question whether all the findings emerged without any prior categorisation of mental health issues influencing the coding structure.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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