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Reviewer’s report - second revision

The authors have responded well to the comments in my previous review and - with two exceptions - made the necessary clarifications in the manuscript or in the author’s response letter. The two points are:

1. The randomization procedure is still not fully explained. Please explain the method used (straight randomization or restricted randomization; some form of restricted randomization must have been used, since number of participants was the same in the two groups? It is very seldom that straight randomization results in equal number of participants). How was stratification according to gender and age performed (see page 4, Assessment and procedure:..."were randomly assigned to one of the two conditions, with gender and age distributed evenly over the groups.")?

2. The results of the power analysis (made beforehand) should be described in the method section.
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