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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Sir or Madam,

please find attached the revised version of our manuscript. We attended to the following points:

Major revisions (we require the author to make these changes)

Abstract: this abstract does not have the correct structure, please split up your 'Results and Conclusions' section into the two sections. Please remember to update the abstract in the submission system also.

-> The 'Results and Conclusions' part in the Abstract has been split up.

Minor revisions (we can make these changes for you, although it will speed up publication of your manuscript if you do them while making the major changes above)

Header: please remove this.

-> We have removed the Header

Tables/Figures: please remove 'insert here' notes.

-> We have deleted the '-Insert table 1 here-'statement.

With best wishes,

Michael Obermeier