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**Reviewer’s report:**

I am not much convincing with the update version and answers. If the authors can add one more column of study design of each included study to the table 2a and 2b, that would be clear for the readers to justify whether the quality score is ok.

I find this paper is not well organized written and difficult to follow for understanding.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable
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