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Reviewer's report:

General

*******************************************************************************

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

The authors revised most of the manuscript according to the reviewers’ suggestions. However, the authors misunderstood an issue about general information of hypophosphatasia. I regret that my suggestion was rather misleading. In the major compulsory revisions section in the previous review, I meant that the general information should be described in the background/introduction section in the MAIN TEXT (but not in the ABSTRACT) to show readers without special knowledge of the disease. The background in the abstract section may be more compact.

*******************************************************************************

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

*******************************************************************************

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

*******************************************************************************

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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