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Reviewer’s report:

General
From the statistical point of view it seems to be OK. I couldn’t really follow all the results, if the body and blood explanation are ok for the experts on those areas it’s fine!

I am also assuming that what the authors call standardisation is the same thing that is on my mind. To be able to ascertain better what was done I would appreciate that the authors explain the standardisation procedure

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)