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**Reviewer's report:**

General
This seems to me to be useful information, and while I can see Dr Kugelman's point about simultaneous measurements I don't think that it invalidates the paper. I would accept the paper in the revised form.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)