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Reviewer's report:

General

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

1. Who generated the allocation sequence and who enrolled participants.
2. Were data analysts blinded?
Comments to these revisions are in the authors' response but not in the revised paper. Authors' comments are good and clarification on these topics would definitely improve the article

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

Please state how many care providers involved in the study, or mention it was not recorded.