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Reviewer's report:

General

In my opinion, the present version of the paper should be accepted for publication.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

In Results, subtitle Evaluation of the cases, at the second line final: there is an extra punctuation mark.
There is a footnote (1) that need to be deleted at the end of the article.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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