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Reviewer’s report:

General

The authors have addressed all of the concerns raised in my original review.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

In the Background section, first paragraph, line 10, a period appears to be missing after "[5]".

In the Conclusions section, there appears to be an unintended sentence, beginning with "1."

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

In the Discussion section, paragraph 2, consider revising the last sentence to a positive statement, rather than a double negative, i.e. "However, a useful scale might be developed for the younger age group."

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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