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**Reviewer's report:**

**Major comments:**
1. Authors should detailed more how the people whom received the survey were chosen.
2. In Table 1 or in the text, in case of failure of oral rehydratation, the total of anwers should be 100%. It will allow us to understand what were the other possibilities. It is important for the conclusions.
3. The same for medications. Were ondansetron and racecadotril the only medications in the survey? If not, what were the others?
4. The discussion must be expanded. Other similar studies can probably be included. The general message should be clarified: do we need to better inform so the guidelines will be better followed or do we need to review the guidelines because of new studies available?

**Minor comments:**
1. The english should be edited.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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