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**Reviewer’s report:**

1.- Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
   if the question is well defined

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?
   if the method is well described

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
   the manuscript conforms to the rules for the notification and data capture

5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
   if there is a match between the discussion and the data as well as with the findings

7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
   the authors are aware of what they are writing

8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
   there is congruence between the title and abstract

9. Is the writing acceptable
   if I find acceptable writing is a good job that gives rise to new searches

3. Are the data sound

6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated?