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Reviewer's report:

The manuscript could be of interest for BMC Pediatrics although it has several limitations which make it difficult to read and understand. The details are listed below:

1. There is no clear information how many participants are interviewed in each Practice at each time point. The information given in the text is confusing and needs to be improved. In Table 1, the authors indicate that Practice 1 had 470 participants and Practice 2 had 177 of them whereas in Table 3, we have information that Practice 1 & 2 combined had 470 participants prior to intervention and 177 post-intervention. This needs to be checked and corrected as in the version of manuscript it is confusing. I propose to add such (exact) information to Fig. 1.

2. If it would be reasonable to add the p value into Table 1

3. There is no information about the participation rate of the parents in each Practice at each time point of questionnaire collection. The participation rate in interviews can have a significant impact on the results. There is no clear information that every parent who visited the pediatric center during the time of the study was intervened or some selections were made (such can also have an impact on results).

4. The headings in Table 2 are confusing. Shouldn't it be "Practice 1 (Control) 6 weeks post-intervention in Practice 2"?

5. The introduction part needs to be rewritten i.e. "currently few pediatricians " is not informative and the percentages should be given.
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