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Nordic School of Public Health, Nya Varvet Byggnad 25, Box 12133, SE-402 42
Gothenburg, Sweden

Re: MS: 8963576169229114
Factors associated with physical growth of children during first two years of age in rural and urban areas of Vietnam
To: Editorial office, BMC Pediatrics

Dear Sirs, Madams

The mentioned paper was submitted to BMC Paediatrics on 13th, 2013. The manuscript has now been revised according to referees’ comments and is hereby returned for your renewed consideration.

I would like to give a point-by-point response to the comments from referees

Referee BECKIE TAGBO and referee ROBINSON WAMMANDA
1. Language corrections were done

Referee JOSEPHAT CHINAWA
1. Definition of WHO about overweight was added
2. Language corrections were done
3. UNICEF frame work for malnutrition was added in introduction part
4. The reasons for not including 12 twins were explained in the paper
5. The interviews made on 88 later out-migrated families and five infants who died were included because nothing abnormal was observed for these children at birth.
6. Validity of birth weight information was discussed in the methods section and discussion part
7. The information about how to measure weight and length and sensitivity and make of the weighing scales of the scale were added in the paper
8. All variables choices such as economic status, antenatal care, reported illness were explained in more detail in the method part
9. Discussion part was rewritten

We are grateful for the reviewer’s comments and hope that you will find the changes satisfactory.
Looking forward to hear from you soon
Yours sincerely,
Huong Nguyen Thu