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Reviewer’s report:

The question is well-defined in the methods section. The methods are appropriate and well described. The data seem to be sound. The manuscript adheres to the standard for reporting medical research. The discussion and conclusion are well balanced and adequately supported by the data. The limitations of the work are clearly stated and discussed in sufficient detail. The authors clearly acknowledge the work upon which they are building. The authors may, as a discretionary revision, wish to broaden the scope of the discussion by adding a sentence or two on the topic of choice of ADHD medication. The following reference may be useful in this respect:


Both the title and the abstract of the manuscript reflect the question addressed by this piece of research very well. The writing is acceptable in every way.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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