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Cover letter addressing revisions

Thank you for accepting our paper for publication, and thank you to the peer reviewers for their time and suggestions. Please see below for our revisions in response to peer review comments. Our changes are highlighted in yellow.

Many thanks,

Iva Seto, Michelle Foisy, Brad Arkison, Terry Klassen, and Katrina Williams

TJ suggestions:

- I think I would feel happier if the first sentence, first paragraph in the Conclusions read more like ‘The results of this survey have shown us that the level of detail in the clinical content and format of the CA…’ or something similar, if the actual clinical content is not being tested.

Our revisions:

- “The results of this survey have shown us that the format of the CA, as well as the amount and type of information presented, were well received by evidence users, suggesting if more are developed it will be a useful high quality synthesised and summarised evidence source that can be used at the point-of-care.”

BP suggestions:

- As another minor amendment, it may be interesting to mention how further evaluations will be undertaken to decide on how useful the product is in the practice of the (actual) audience.

Our revisions:

- “We have sent the project’s final report to the Cochrane Collaboration, and the Cochrane Editorial Unit is currently continuing the work on Clinical Answers. A potential future direction would be to implement the CAs at the point-of-care, and measure the extent of its effect in practice through further surveys or other evaluative method.”