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**Reviewer's report:**

The authors have done a commendable job addressing the issues raised in my original review. I have only minor comments, which I believe the authors can be trusted to make.

**MINOR ESSENTIAL REVISIONS**

While the authors justify their use of the 34-week threshold, can they provide additional data about "how data suggested a systematic underestimation by 2-3 weeks"? This is mentioned both in the Methods and in the legend for Table 1. Also, while I understand the intent of the final sentence in the Table caption ("Thus we estimate that only . . ."), I find it a bit confusing. I would omit the sentence, since it's covered by the text referenced above.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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