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Reviewer's report:

The authors are to be commended on the revised manuscript. The only major concern that remains is the issue of a potential period and carryover effect, which I feel is not yet adequately addressed in the discussion. While 35 examinations were performed with BIO and 35 with WFDRI, there is a very uneven distribution of the timing of these examinations which makes the findings prone to either of these potential biases.

There are a few remaining grammatical errors.

Sincerely
Eugene Dempsey

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests.