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Reviewer’s report:

The authors present a well-designed study which compares silicon limbal relaxing incision knife with diamond and steel knives.

A few specific comments may contribute to the manuscript:

1. Page 3: second paragraph in materials repeated
2. Why surgeons did 8 incisions with three types of knives, and only 2 with steel accurate depth knives?
3. Justify the use of a general linear model and Tukey’s HSD multiple comparisons in the methods section
3. Discussion: information in the last two paragraphs pertains to methods section, at least in part. However, limitations and strengths of the study are not sufficiently discussed

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.

Declaration of competing interests:

'I declare that I have no competing interests'