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RE: BioMed Central, MS: 1920533699164865
"Rotational stability of the AcrySof SA60TT toric intraocular lenses."

Reviewer 1
“…accept without revision.”
“…an article of importance in its field.”

The authors like to express their thank to referee 1 for the invested time and effort in the re-reviewing process.

Reviewer 2
During my first read, I did not realize that the main purpose was to look at how much the IOL rotated after the first week. This really is not as clear as it should be judging from the abstract. Many of the comparable studies in the literature look at the amount of rotation postoperatively from the selected position at the time of surgery. I feel strongly that the abstract and the introduction (Background) should be re-written to more clearly indicate that this is about rotational stability after one week.

“The aim of this study was to evaluate the postoperative rotational stability of the three types of AcrySof SA60TT toric IOLs in standard cataract surgery after the first postoperative week”.

Thank you for your feedback. We completely agree that the initial version of the article could lead to misunderstandings. Your comment was very helpful and lead to significant improvements of the article, especially in understanding the way and time of measurements. We updated the abstract and background part of the article in accordance with your latest suggestion. Many thanks.