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Reviewer's report:

General

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Avoid using LTG - this is outdated. Use NPG - you actually use NTG at one point. It is obviously important to get this right.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

I dont really know why you bothered with Ethics Committee review - I dont think you were in much danger of breeching the Declaration of Helsinki with this study.

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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