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Dear Dr. Edmunds,

Please find attached the latest version of my manuscript which incorporates all of the clarifications and revisions requested by Dr. Richer.

One minor modification to Dr. Richer’s request – Dr. Richer suggested the addition of the following sentence in our CONCLUSIONS:

“We can not completely dismiss microcurrent stimulation (with or without supplementation) as we did not evaluate the more refined FSM (Frequency Specific Microcurrent) stimulation.”

There are currently three different methods of microcurrent stimulation in clinical trials. Subsequently, I feel the following sentence (not singling out a specific method of microcurrent) is more appropriate:

“We can not completely dismiss microcurrent stimulation (with or without supplementation) as we did not evaluate all available methods of stimulation.”

I appreciate this opportunity to present a revised manuscript and thank the reviewers for their contributions to the article.

Respectfully,

Francis E Cangemi, MD