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Reviewer’s report:

General

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Because of the large variability among patients in the lag time between the instigation of mydriasis and the measurement of the pupil size, the authors should discuss if this may affect the findings on the primary end point. It is recomended to support the comparability of treatment groups with respect to pupil size at the end point by a chi²-test.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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