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Title: Comparison of silicon oil removal with various viscosities after complex retinal detachment surgery
Version: 4 Date: 8 May 2006
Reviewer: Gopal Lingam

Reviewer's report:

General

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached) There is need to emphasise the limitations of the retrospective, non randomised nature of this study. In conclusions, the authors may state this fact and emphasise the need for a prospective randomized study to confirm or refute these findings. It was added to the conclusions

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions
Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests
Quality of written English: Acceptable
Statistical review: No
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