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Reviewer's report:

General

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
Author must indicate the reason for choosing methylprednisolone and not a longer acting steroid like triamcinolone for the posterior subtenon injection. How do the two compare with regard to transport across the sclera and retention in the posterior subtenon space.
Must indicate that the time interval is too short to comment on risk of cataract development.
Should provide data on what happened after 3 months (as most patients generally need a repeat injection).

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
Page 2, conclusion section: ‘..... depends on location of hard drusen' needs correction. Conclusion could be more precise (and not generalized).

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No
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