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Reviewer's report:

General

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

1. No mention of potential study pitfalls is given, the discussion and conclusions of the paper need to be re-addressed and a frank and honest discussion should be given including the limitations of only using a single imaging device to measure TOTAL ocular blood flow. These are very important corrections to make before the paper can rest on its conclusions. There is a very good likelihood that the authors did not have an adequate study size (n) and/or sufficient imaging techniques to claim no alterations in flow. The conclusions and discussion should include the study limitations!

2. No study power is given to ensure that the number of subjects (n) was sufficient to detect any significant differences which may have occurred.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

3. Grammar improvements are still needed in the paper, the authors must review their work for grammatical correctness!

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No
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