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Reviewer’s report:

General
The questionnaire should be included in the materials and methods or in Table format. The responses should be analyzed based on surgeons experience (e.g., in years of practice) volume of surgery, age of surgeon, viscoelastic preference and preferably also on anesthesia mode (topical vs subtenon vs paraocular) used.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
The manuscript is not in normal format and materials and methods part is mostly introduction. These should be organized and the conclusion part included.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
Discussion should be based on the results and responses of the surgeons, not on assumptions.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Reject because scientifically unsound

Level of interest: An article of insufficient interest to warrant publication in a scientific/medical journal

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

Statistical review: Yes