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**Reviewer's report:**

Comment:

This is a well-conducted work, and the manuscript was a well written. However, the method used was only objective, and might not be enough to draw a firm conclusion. I recommend some revisions.

1) Only one examiner scored the fundus visualization. The authors should confirm interobserver repeatability of their method.

2) It was not clear the sizes of YAG capsulotomy, although the authors described that they were small. The authors had better clarify the size of capsulotomy performed.
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