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Reviewer’s report:

The authors present an important concept in the use of ICG to help establish a novel area of neovascular disease at an atrophic site of AMD in the setting of new SRH bleeding. This report may help retina specialists identify a specific area of new leakage that can be targeted with focal laser photocoagulation. However, there are critical points that need to be considered and addressed prior to publication. Specifically, to help clinician answer whether ICG and subsequent focal laser may address this issue, especially with size and location of the neovascular bleeding, please address the following:

1. Approximately how soon from the time of each bleeding episode was laser used?
2. If there was no view/access to the point of leakage, how did the authors ensure that there was proper laser photocoagulation pick-up or scarring?
3. What particular laser settings was used in each patient case?
4. In the discussion, authors may require to address why it may be more or less beneficial to primarily treat with anti-VEGF intravitreal injections prior to laser photocoagulation to establish more efficient result of neovascular regression.
5. Authors may need to shed light on possible worsening of geographic atrophy and future neovascular episodes with the use of laser, which could be avoided if using anti-VEGF injections.
6. What were the average sizes of the geographic atrophy lesions? Could a greater size than average may predispose a patient to have break-through bleeding compared to patients with smaller GA?
7. In the beginning of the paper the authors mention that the bleeding may be the polipoidal type of AMD. However the authors fail to shed light on this comment in the discussion section. Why was this important to bring up to the reader? Please clarify.
8. Line 6 of page 4: need to remove comma prior the period (punctuation)

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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