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Reviewer’s report:

In Methods:
Povidone is instilled inside the cul-de-sac not on eyelid
Propacaine should be proparacaine
1+prn Should be 1+PRN (Pro Re Nata)
The major problem is adding up OCT numbers from 3 machines. To solve this problem, there is a conversion factor between machines but this was not done for high myopes. Hence we should list OCT as relative decrease (% decrease) and repeat Table 2 and the results section.
The rest of the paper is superbly written with clear introduction, results and discussion.

This paper is an addition to the literature and reaffirms the few injections needed in high myopes because of younger age than wet AMD like in CNV from inflammatory diseases as our collaborative group wrote.
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