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Author's response to reviews: see over
The authors have answered most of the reviewers' questions / comments. However, some minor revisions are needed before considering this manuscript for publication.

- Please omit Figure 4 (visual field), and keep only the description in the text (as suggested by one of the reviewers).

**We omitted Figure 4.**

- Please use the first image from the set of figures provided for Figure 2 (fundus image), since it has no artefacts.

**We used first image for Figure 2.**

- Please in Figure 6, provide the same OCT mode for a and b.

**We provided same OCT mode for a and b.**

- Please provide figure legends

**We provided figure legends.**

- Although the authors have considerably improved the language, some corrections and English language editing seem to be necessary.

**We revised the text as you asked for.**

For example, please consider rewriting in discussion, paragraph 6 the sentence: "MG5 might be classified due to its contractile content (16).", in order to improve its meaning

**We revised the text as you asked for.**

"afferent papillary" should be "pupillary"

**We revised the text as you asked for.**

"pituitary glad" should be "gland"

**We revised the text as you asked for.**

"REFFERENCES" should be "REFERENCES"

**We revised the text as you asked for.**

- Please revise the description of pupil exam results. Normal test results for the efferent arc of the pupillary pathway are usually reported as: "There was isocoria and normal pupillary near responses"
We revised the text as you asked for.

- Please check that the reference list is according to the BMC Ophthalmology style, you can find an example in the instructions for authors section of the webpage.
  (http://www.biomedcentral.com/bmcophthalmol/authors/instructions/casereport#formatting-references)

  For example, references 1 and 2 are not according to the BMC Ophthalmology style, there is no bold type and no italics in the whole list (as in the BMC Ophthalmology style)

  We revised the reference list is according to the BMC Ophthalmology style.