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Reviewer's report:

Overall nicely conducted study.
Few comments to the authors

Abstract

Line 40 1. Authors should add success rate of the two groups in percentages in the abstract along with calculation of confidence interval for both of them.

Line 141: How the patients were selected into 2 groups. Is it random as per the surgeon?

Line 211: Any explanation for not developing lateral incomitance in spite of 10mm recession? Any MRI study to look at the anatomical variation in your population?

Any patient with RR group developed enophthalmos or change in the palpebral fissure height?

How RR group can be compared to the BLR group in the literature?
What is the advantage of RR vs BLR

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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