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Reviewer's report:

Minor essential revisions
1. The authors excluded people who did not have an idea about eye donation. This may be result in a biased outcome. The authors can briefly describe the characteristics of this subgroup in the results section.

2. What method of donor cornea procurement was used. It has been suggested that the in situ method might be more acceptable as compared to whole globe enucleation. Please discuss, “Whole Globe Enucleation Versus In Situ Excision for Donor Corneal Retrieval-A Prospective Comparative Study.”

3. In the introduction section, please mention that in developing countries like India, alternatives to corneal transplantation are being sought due to a lack of adequate donor corneas. Please cite, “Alternatives to allograft corneal transplantation. Curr Opin Ophthalmol. 2010 Jul;21(4):301-9.”

4. Was there a difference in the attitude towards eye donation in the next of kin of previous cornea donors and other people?

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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