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Dear Editors,

I am re-submitting the following revised manuscript for your consideration: “Agreement between the Orbscan II, VuMAX UBM and Artemis-2 very-high frequency ultrasound scanner for the measurement of anterior chamber depth).

Your comments and the reviewer were very helpful and allowed me to improve the quality of the manuscript.

In the following pages are detailed out point-by-point responses to each of the comments of the reviewer as well as your own comments. Revisions in the manuscript text are shown using a combination of Track Changes and coloured text, and responses to reviewers in this letter are shown in bold.

I hope that the revisions in the manuscript and my accompanying responses are sufficient to make this manuscript suitable for publication in BMC Ophthalmology.

I look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Haya M. Alfarhan
Point-by-point response to reviewers

Reviewer: Giacomo Savini
Reviewer's report:
The authors improved their manuscript, but did not fully address my concerns, especially for the statistical methods, which are not yet clear. I believe that a further revision is needed before publication.

Comments:

Reviewer's report

The paper can now be accepted for publication, on condition that a careful grammar and style revision is provided. There are still many errors in the manuscript.

RESPONSE: Thank you for your comment. I agree with your suggestion and I have used the editorial services of Emedits Global Ltd. to revise the language and format the paper in accordance with journal requirements and peer-reviewer/editor comments.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests
Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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